

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/618,689 2131.000019 Robert L. Doubler 8552 07/09/2003 **EXAMINER** 43541 7590 11/09/2006 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS (ZIMMER SPINE) REESE, DAVID C 2700 CAREW TOWER **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **441 VINE STREET** CINCINNATI, OH 45202 3677

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/618,689	DOUBLER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David C. Reese	3677		

	·	David C. Neese	3077	
The MAILIN	IG DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 I	November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed this application, application places the application.	d after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplicant must timely file one of the followation in condition for allowance; (2) a Nontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for	reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
no event, howe	reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ever, will the statutory period for reply expire Is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS	: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 e obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
have been filed is the date under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is ca set forth in (b) above, if ch	e for purposes of determining the period of example alculated from: (1) the expiration date of the specked. Any reply received by the Office later atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropr riginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of App filing the Notice of a Notice of Appea	peal was filed on A brief in comp f Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte al has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
(a) They raise r	nendment(s) filed after a final rejection, lew issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(c) They are no	the issue of new matter (see NOTE belong the deemed to place the application in bet		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nt additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.	
	ee Claims 1 and 26. (See 37 CFR 1.116		O	(DTOL 224)
	s are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	has overcome the following rejection(s)		a timely filed emendmy	ent concoling the
non-allowable cla		<u> </u>	,	
how the new or ar	appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) mended claims would be rejected is proclaim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		wiii be entered and an t	explanation of
Claim(s) objected				
	: <u>1-5,10,13,17 and 19-26</u> .	·		•
` '	vn from consideration: <u>6-9,11,12,15,16, and the consideration</u>	<u>and 18</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER		ut before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will be	at he entered
because applican	ther evidence filed after a final action, but it failed to provide a showing of good an resented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered because	ther evidence filed after the date of filing the affidavit or other evidence failed to d and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or o	other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NSIDERATION/OTHER reconsidered bu	it does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attache13. ☐ Other:	ed Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
-			Karley	
		·	POBERT J. SANDY	
			' DIMART EXAMINE	M